Policy Document: Journal Science Letters

Science Letters is a multidisciplinary, peer-reviewed, triannual, open-access scientific journal. We are dedicated to serving as an international forum to share knowledge on research advances across the following fields of science:

- Agricultural / Plant Sciences
- Biomedical Sciences
- Biotechnology
- Environmental Sciences
- Food Sciences
- Microbiology
- Veterinary / Animal Sciences

Editorial and Peer Review Timelines

- **Initial Editorial Screening:** Completed within 3 days of submission.
- **Peer Review & First Decision:** Completed within 35 days.
- **Author Revisions:** Authors are requested to submit revised manuscripts within 15 to 30 days, depending on the extent of editorial and reviewer feedback.
- **Acceptance & Publication:** Formal acceptance and online publication are completed within 35 days of final revision submission, which includes comprehensive editorial verification, technical copyediting, and galley proofing.

Editorial and Open Access Policies

Peer Review Model

All submitted manuscripts undergo a rigorous **double-blind peer-review process**, in which independent field experts evaluate the work. Manuscripts are sent to at least two potential reviewers, and the Editor-in-Chief makes the final publication decision based on their recommendations.

Open Access, Copyright, and Licensing

Upon formal acceptance, all articles are published under a **Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)** license. This framework permits global readers to read, download, print, copy, redistribute, transform, search, link, crawl, or data-mine the material for any lawful, non-commercial purpose, provided the original authors and journal are properly credited.

Copyright Ownership: Authors retain full copyright of their work while granting Science Letters a non-exclusive license to publish.

Persistent Identifiers: Every published article is assigned a permanent, unique **Digital Object Identifier (DOI)** through Crossref immediately upon publication to ensure stable citation tracking.

Article Submission and Processing Charges (APCs)

To sustain immediate, free worldwide access to research, Science Letters charges a flat Article Processing Charge (APC) **only after a manuscript has been formally accepted** for publication:

- **International Submissions:** USD 160
- **Domestic Submissions (Within Pakistan):** PKR 40,000
- **Global Equity Waivers:** Science Letters grants automatic 100% APC waivers to corresponding authors whose primary institutional affiliation is located in a country classified by the World Bank as a Low-Income or Lower-Middle-Income Economy. Conditional waivers are also reviewed for active peer reviewers and authors (**See our APC policy**)

Advertising and Marketing Policy

Science Letters does not accept or display commercial advertisements on its website or within its published manuscripts. Editorial decisions are made strictly on scientific merit and remain entirely independent of commercial or financial influences.

Research Ethics and Compliance

Ethics of Experimentation

Submitted work must comply with international biological welfare standards and the national laws where the work occurred.

- **Human Subjects:** Manuscripts involving human clinical trials or data must explicitly state compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Formal informed consent must be obtained from subjects (or their relatives/guardians if deceased). Clinical trials must be registered in a public registry before patient enrollment begins.
- **Animal Welfare:** Research involving animal experimentation must strictly comply with the ARRIVE guidelines (or equivalent national mandates).
- **Institutional Approvals:** All manuscripts reporting *in vivo* experiments or clinical trials must include a written statement in the Materials and Methods section confirming formal approval and protocol numbers from the local institutional review board (IRB) or animal care committee.
- **Case Studies & Participant Privacy:** For manuscripts containing individual case studies, personal clinical data, or identifiable images, authors must secure explicit, written informed consent for publication from the patient (or legal guardians) prior to submission. A confirmation statement must be included in the manuscript text.

Laboratory Health and Safety

Authors must confirm that all mandatory laboratory health and safety procedures were complied with during experimental work. The manuscript must contain appropriate warnings and safety precautions concerning specific hazards, materials, or chemical formulae involved.

Data Repositories

Gene, nucleotide, or macromolecular sequences must be deposited into an open public database (GenBank, EMBL, or DDBJ), and their valid accession numbers must be explicitly cited within the manuscript text.

Publication Ethics and Misconduct Defenses

Plagiarism Screening

Science Letters abides by the best practice guidance of the **Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)**. Originality is verified upon submission using Turnitin. Manuscripts are strictly screened for text similarity; minor overlapping text matches may be returned for linguistic revision, while structural or intentional plagiarism results in immediate desk rejection.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Policy

Authorship Restrictions: Authors cannot list AI tools or generative AI technologies as authors, as only human authors can fulfill the legal and ethical responsibilities of authorship.

Acceptable Use: Authors may use AI-assisted tools solely to improve the readability, language, or grammar of their manuscript. To safeguard the integrity and authentic voice of scholarly research, AI-assisted adjustments must not exceed 20% of the manuscript text, and authors must explicitly disclose their use upon submission.

Prohibited Use: The use of generative AI to draft original scientific text, generate hypotheses, or create/manipulate raw research data, figures, and graphs is strictly prohibited. Human authors remain entirely accountable for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the final work.

Manuscript Types and Submission Formats

1. Original Research Articles: Reports novel, fully validated scientific findings. No strict page limit, but text should be concise. Must contain an Abstract (200–250 words), Keywords (3 to 5 terms), Main Body (Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, & Conclusions), and Tables and Figures arranged sequentially at the end. Results and Discussion sections can be combined if necessary.

2. Short Communications: Brief, urgent reports of highly significant or preliminary findings. Max length of 9 double-spaced pages in Microsoft Word (inclusive of all text, illustrations, and tables). Requires an

abstract of 150–200 words. References must not exceed 20 citations. The short communication may or may not include any heading or subheading.

3. Data Analysis: A structured platform to share curated scientific datasets, statistical models, meta-analyses, or computational files. Reports must be concise and require a structured abstract of 150–200 words. Mandatory headings include: Data Source and Acquisition, Methodological Validation & Software, and a formal Data Availability Statement detailing repository access routes.

4. Review Articles & Mini-Reviews: Comprehensive syntheses of critical, evolving research areas. Authors must possess an established publication background in the chosen topic and are encouraged to consult the Editor-in-Chief before submission. Focus must remain on peer-reviewed primary journal literature (avoid textbook citations) and must contain an Abstract (200–250 words), Keywords (3 to 5 terms), Introduction, appropriate headings in the text, and a Conclusion. Authors are strongly encouraged to use about 40-60% of the references within five years of relevant work. Review Articles have no strict page limits; Mini-Reviews are capped at 2,500 words excluding visual elements

5. Case Studies: Presents unusual, informative, or complex occurrences in field research, veterinary medicine, or agricultural applications. Max length of 9 double-spaced pages in Word, inclusive of illustrations and references. Requires an abstract of 100–150 words and is capped at a maximum of 20 references.

6. Letters to the Editor: Letters to the Editor should focus on the aspect(s) of general concern to different science fields and should not exceed 4 double-spaced typed pages, including 5-10 references. Examples of topics for such letters include solutions to long-standing problems, analysis of significant contradictions, responses to a hypothesis, or other letters to the editors published in Science Letters.

Title Page and Layout Formatting

Title Page Layout

- A concise, informative title.
- The full names of all authors in definitive order of appearance.
- Clear institutional affiliations and physical addresses for all authors.
- The name, official email address, and telephone number of the designated corresponding author.

Text and File Specifications

- **File Format:** Submissions must be uploaded as Microsoft Word files (.doc or .docx).
- **Typography:** Text must use a standard, clean font (e.g., 10-point or 12-point Times New Roman). Lines and pages must use automatic numbering features.
- **Mechanics:** Use tab stops for indentations (do not use the space bar). Deactivate document field functions. Equations must be generated using MS Word Equation Editor or MathType. All headings must use bold sentence case (no ALL CAPS).
- **Metrics:** The International System of Units (SI Units) must be used exclusively. Abbreviations must be defined at their first mention and used consistently thereafter.

- **Statistical Reporting:** Appropriate statistical treatment of the data is essential. When the statistical analysis is performed, the name of the statistical test used, the number for each analysis, comparisons of interest, alpha level, and the actual p-value for each test should be provided.

Administrative Disclosures

Acknowledgments: Placed in a separate section to thank contributors who do not meet authorship criteria or to list general institutional support.

Funding Statement: Funding organizations must be written in full with explicit grant numbers. The funding source can also be mentioned in the Acknowledgment section.

Conflict of Interest Statement: To ensure objectivity, authors must certify any financial affiliations (grants, honoraria, employment, equity ownership, or patent arrangements) or non-financial alignments (personal or institutional relationships) with the subject matter. If no conflict exists, authors must state: "The authors declare no conflicts of interest."

Tables, Figures, References, and Supplementary Information

Tables and Figures Specifications

Tables: Created using the native Word table function (do not embed Excel sheets). Captions must begin with the label "Table 1" positioned directly above the table body. Vertical gridlines are strictly prohibited. Use superscript lowercase letters beneath the table body for footnotes.

Figures: Figure legends must begin with the label "Fig. 1" positioned directly below the graphic. Multi-part figures must be labeled with capital letters (a, b). Graphic borders are not permitted.

Citation: All tables and figures must be cited sequentially in consecutive numerical order within the text.

References (Vancouver Style)

In-Text Citations: Cited numerically using Arabic numerals enclosed in square brackets before the terminal punctuation (e.g., [1], [2, 3], or [4–7]). When naming authors in the text, use the format: Raza et al. [8].

Reference List: Listed numerically at the end of the manuscript in the exact order of appearance in the text. If a source has more than six authors, list the first six followed by "et al."

At the end, references should be written as follows:

Reference to a journal publication:

[1] Van der Geer J, Hanraads JAJ, Lupton RA. The art of writing a scientific article. J Sci Commun 2010; 163:51-9.

Reference to a book:

[2] Strunk Jr W, White EB. The elements of style. 4th ed. New York: Longman; 2000.

Reference to a chapter in an edited book:

[3] Mettam GR, Adams LB. How to prepare an electronic version of your article. In: Jones BS, Smith RZ, editors. Introduction to the electronic age, New York: E-Publishing Inc; 2009, p. 281-304.

Reference for proceedings

[4] Lowe KF, Hamilton BA. Dairy pastures in the Australian tropics and subtropics. In: Murtagh GT, Jones RM, editors. Proceedings of the 3rd Australian conference on tropical pastures, Rockhampton, 1986, p. 68-79.

Supplementary Materials

This section includes the text of the Supplementary Materials, which can include figures, tables, materials and methods. Supplementary material can be provided in the same manuscript file at the end of the manuscript, after tables and figures.

Operations and Professional Post-Publication Standards

Suggested Reviewers Policy

During online submission, authors must provide the contact details (name, institution, country, and institutional email address) of three independent, potential reviewers. The editorial office reserves the right to select alternative reviewers; publication decisions depend entirely on independent peer validation.

Language Editing Service Disclosure

Manuscripts must be written in clear, concise English. Non-native English speakers are encouraged to utilize professional editing services prior to submission. [Transparency Note: THE SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, the parent body of Science Letters, provides an optional editing service at a 5% discount; utilization of this service has no bearing on editorial evaluation or manuscript acceptance.]

Galley Proofs and Reprints

Following acceptance, automated galley proofs are emailed to the corresponding author for mandatory quality checking. Corrected proofs must be returned within 5 days. As an open-access online publication, Science Letters does not provide physical reprints; final papers are permanently available online to download as PDFs free of charge.

Privacy Statement

We collect and process personal data, including names, affiliations, and contact details, strictly to manage the editorial workflow, facilitate peer review, communicate journal announcements, and optimize the platform. We do not share or distribute personal information to third parties without

explicit consent, except where required by law. Users maintain full rights to access, rectify, or request deletion of their personal data.

Archiving and Digital Preservation Policy

To ensure the long-term preservation of the scholarly record, the full-text contents of Science Letters are continuously deposited in the Internet Archive. To expand permanent digital preservation, Science Letters is actively working to integrate with CLOCKSS/LOCKSS and the PKP Preservation Network (PKP PN) to ensure a permanent, decentralized digital archive.

Repository and Self-Archiving Policy (Green Open Access)

Preprints: Authors are encouraged to share their pre-publication manuscripts (preprints) on institutional repositories, personal websites, or non-commercial preprint servers (e.g., bioRxiv, arXiv) at any time before or during the submission process.

Version of Record: Authors retain the right to self-archive the publisher's final formatted PDF version (Version of Record) in any public repository or personal website immediately upon publication, without any embargo period.

Post-Publication Corrections

If an error is discovered after publication, the journal handles amendments according to **COPE guidelines**. Published for errors of a scientific nature or metadata omissions that do not alter the overall conclusions or structural thrust of the study. The original article remains online, but a formal Correction Notice is published, dynamically linked to the original paper, and made freely available. The addition of completely new data is not permitted post-publication.

Core Ethical Responsibilities (COPE Framework)

Science Letters is fully committed to upholding publication ethics and maintaining the highest quality standards for its articles, strictly following the **COPE Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors**.

Authors' Responsibilities

- **Reporting Standards & Replication:** Authors must present an objective, accurate account of the research performed and an unbiased discussion of its significance. The manuscript must contain sufficient experimental detail and public repository references to allow others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements are unethical and strictly unacceptable.
- **Originality & Plagiarism:** Authors must ensure that their work is entirely original. If the work, data, or words of others are used, they must be appropriately cited or quoted. Plagiarism in any form is unacceptable and results in immediate rejection or retraction.

- **Multiple or Concurrent Submissions:** Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently is unethical and prohibited. Authors must not submit manuscripts describing essentially the same research to multiple venues.
- **Authorship & Consensus:** The corresponding author must ensure that all individuals listed as authors made a significant intellectual contribution to the study. Full consensus from all co-authors must be secured before approving the final version of the paper and submitting it for publication.
- **Disclosure:** Authors are strictly obligated to participate in the peer-review process, respond to queries, and provide mandatory funding information and conflict-of-interest statements.

Editors' Responsibilities

- **Fair Play & Independence:** Editors evaluate submitted manuscripts exclusively on their academic and scientific merit, without regard to the authors' race, gender, nationality, or institutional affiliation.
- **Confidentiality:** Editors and editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, and the publisher.
- **Conflicts of Interest:** Editors must recuse themselves from handling manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with the authors or institutions. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without explicit written consent.
- **Investigation of Misconduct:** Editors must take reasonably responsive measures when ethical complaints or allegations of misconduct are presented. They must follow COPE flowcharts to investigate disputes and remain prepared to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions, or apologies.

Reviewers' Responsibilities

- **Confidentiality:** All manuscripts received for review are confidential documents and must be treated as privileged information. They must not be shared or used for personal or professional advantage.
- **Objectivity & Constructive Feedback:** Reviews must be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should formulate their critiques clearly, providing supporting arguments so authors can use them constructively to improve the paper.
- **Promptness & Recusal:** Any selected referee who feels unqualified to evaluate the research, or knows that prompt review will be impossible, must notify the editor immediately and decline the invitation.
- **Conflict of Interest:** Reviewers must decline to evaluate manuscripts in which they have competing, collaborative, financial, or other relationships with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the research.
- **Resource Acknowledgment:** Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors and call attention to any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data.

Article Withdrawal, Retraction, Removal, and Replacement Policy

1. Article Withdrawal

Applies exclusively to Articles in Press (manuscripts accepted ahead-of-print, but not yet assigned to a formal volume, issue, or page range). Used for accidental duplicate submissions, early versions containing critical errors, or papers determined by the editors to violate publishing ethics. The article content is removed and replaced with a note stating it was withdrawn.

2. Article Retraction

Applies strictly to formally published articles that violate professional ethical codes or contain major honest errors. Following COPE guidelines, a formal retraction note is published. The original article is not deleted; it remains accessible but appended with a clear 'RETRACTED' watermark on every page.

3. Article Removal: Legal Limitations

Reserved for content that is legally defamatory, infringes upon legal rights, or poses an immediate health/safety risk. The main text is deleted, but metadata is retained along with a notice of legal removal.

4. Article Replacement

Where content poses a safety or health risk, authors may retract the original and replace it with a corrected version. A link to the newly republished article and document history will be provided.